

Parametric Survival Models

David M. Rocke

May 20, 2021

Exponential Distribution

- The exponential distribution is the base distribution for survival analysis.
- The distribution has a constant hazard λ
- The mean survival time is λ^{-1}

$$\begin{aligned}f(t) &= \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \\ \ln(f(t)) &= \ln \lambda - \lambda t \\ F(t) &= 1 - e^{-\lambda t} \\ S(t) &= e^{-\lambda t} \\ \ln(S(t)) &= -\lambda t \\ h(t) &= -\frac{d}{dt} \ln(S(t)) \\ &= -\frac{d}{dt}(-\lambda t) \\ &= \lambda\end{aligned}$$

Weibull Distribution

Using the Kalbfleisch and Prentice (2002) notation

$$f(t) = \lambda p (\lambda t)^{p-1} e^{-(\lambda t)^p}$$

$$h(t) = \lambda p (\lambda t)^{p-1}$$

$$S(t) = e^{-(\lambda t)^p}$$

When $p = 1$ this is the exponential. When $p > 1$ the hazard is increasing and when $p < 1$ the hazard is decreasing. This provides more flexibility than the exponential.

Exponential Regression

For each subject i define a linear predictor

$$\begin{aligned}\eta &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \cdots + \beta_p x_p \\ h(t|\text{covariates}) &= e^\eta\end{aligned}$$

We let the linear predictor have a constant term and when there are no additional predictors the hazard is $\lambda = \exp(\beta_0)$. This has a log link as in a generalized linear model. Since the hazard does not depend on t , the hazards are (trivially) proportional.

Accelerated Failure Time

Suppose that $S_i(t) = S_0(t\theta_i)$ where $\theta_i = \exp(\eta_i)$ and $\eta_i = \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_p x_p$. This is called an accelerated failure time model because covariates cause uniform acceleration (or slowing) of failure times. If the base distribution is exponential with parameter λ then

$$S_i(t) = e^{-\lambda t \theta_i}$$

which is an exponential model with base hazard multiplied by θ_i , which is also the proportional hazards model.

Accelerated Failure Time

In terms of the log survival time $Y = \ln(T)$ the model can be written as

$$Y = \alpha - \eta + W$$
$$\alpha = -\ln(\lambda)$$

where W has the extreme value distribution. The estimated parameter λ is the intercept and the other coefficients are those of η , which will be the opposite sign of those for coxph.

Accelerated Failure Time

For a Weibull distribution, the hazard function and the survival function are

$$\begin{aligned}h(t) &= \lambda p(\lambda t)^{p-1} \\S(t) &= e^{-(\lambda t)^p}\end{aligned}$$

We can construct a proportional hazards model by using a linear predictor η_i without constant term and letting $\theta_i = e^{\eta_i}$ we have

$$h(t) = \lambda p(\lambda t)^{p-1} \theta_i$$

Accelerated Failure Time

A distribution with $h(t) = \lambda p(\lambda t)^{p-1} \theta_i$ is a Weibull distribution with parameters $\lambda^* = \lambda \theta_i^{1/p}$ and p so the survival function is

$$\begin{aligned} S^*(t) &= e^{-(\lambda^* t)^p} \\ &= e^{-(\lambda \theta_i^{1/p} t)^p} \\ &= S(t \theta_i^{1/p}) \end{aligned}$$

so this is also an accelerated failure time model.

Accelerated Failure Time

In terms of the log survival time $Y = \ln(T)$ the model can be written as

$$Y = \alpha - \sigma\eta + \sigma W$$

$$\alpha = -\ln(\lambda)$$

$$\sigma = 1/p$$

where W has the extreme value distribution. The estimated parameter λ is the intercept and the other coefficients are those of η , which will be the opposite sign of those for coxph.

Accelerated Failure Time

These AFT models are log-linear, meaning that the linear predictor has a log link. The exponential and the Weibull are the only log-linear models that are simultaneously proportional hazards models. Other parametric distributions can be used for survival regression either as a proportional hazards model or as an accelerated failure time model.

survreg {survival} R Documentation

Regression for a Parametric Survival Model

Description

Fit a parametric survival regression model.

These are location-scale models for an arbitrary transform of the time variable; the most common cases use a log transformation, leading to accelerated failure time models.

Usage

```
survreg(formula, data, weights, subset,  
        na.action, dist="weibull", init=NULL, scale=0,  
        control, parms=NULL, model=FALSE, x=FALSE,  
        y=TRUE, robust=FALSE, cluster, score=FALSE, ...)
```

Arguments

formula

a formula expression as for other regression models. The response is usually a survival object as returned by the `Surv` function.

See the documentation for `Surv`, `lm` and `formula` for details.

data

a data frame in which to interpret the variables named in the formula, weights or the subset arguments.

```

> anderson.cox0 <- coxph(anderson.surv~treat,data=anderson)
> summary(Anderson.cox0)
Call:
coxph(formula = anderson.surv ~ treat, data = anderson)

n= 42, number of events= 30

              coef exp(coef) se(coef)      z Pr(>|z|)
treatstandard 1.5721     4.8169   0.4124 3.812 0.000138 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

              exp(coef) exp(-coef) lower .95 upper .95
treatstandard     4.817     0.2076     2.147     10.81

Concordance= 0.69 (se = 0.041 )
Likelihood ratio test= 16.35 on 1 df,  p=5e-05
Wald test              = 14.53 on 1 df,  p=1e-04
Score (logrank) test = 17.25 on 1 df,  p=3e-05

```

```
> anderson.weib <- survreg(anderson.surv~treat,data=anderson)
> summary(anderson.weib)
```

Call:

```
survreg(formula = anderson.surv ~ treat, data = anderson)
```

	Value	Std. Error	z	p
(Intercept)	3.516	0.252	13.96	< 2e-16
treatstandard	-1.267	0.311	-4.08	4.5e-05
Log(scale)	-0.312	0.147	-2.12	0.034

Scale= 0.732

Weibull distribution

Loglik(model)= -106.6 Loglik(intercept only)= -116.4

Chisq= 19.65 on 1 degrees of freedom, p= 9.3e-06

Number of Newton-Raphson Iterations: 5

n= 42

```
> anderson.exp <- survreg(anderson.surv~treat,data=anderson,dist="exp")
> summary(anderson.exp)
```

Call:

```
survreg(formula = anderson.surv ~ treat, data = anderson, dist = "exp")
```

	Value	Std. Error	z	p
(Intercept)	3.686	0.333	11.06	< 2e-16
treatstandard	-1.527	0.398	-3.83	0.00013

Scale fixed at 1

Exponential distribution

Loglik(model)= -108.5 Loglik(intercept only)= -116.8

Chisq= 16.49 on 1 degrees of freedom, p= 4.9e-05

Number of Newton-Raphson Iterations: 4

n= 42

```
> plot(survfit(anderson.surv~treat,data=anderson),fun="cloglog")
```

If the cloglog plot survfit is linear, then a Weibull model may be ok.

